



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET

- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance –

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.40, No.42

October 29th, 2004

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

"It isn't that they can't see the solution. It is that they can't see the problem." – G. K. Chesterton (1874-1936)

"So far from being depressed by a situation which now must get worse, those who have held fast to the Christian revelation and heritage, should now be seized with a realisation that they are being offered a tremendous opportunity to present certain salvation to their fellows in the tumultuous days which are just ahead. By their own faith and works they can demonstrate that the Kingdom is within, and that all individuals can start to search for it as they realise it will not be found in bigger and more highly centralised human organisations." – *On Target*, December 18th, 1981

THE WAR LORDS OF WASHINGTON: As we partake of the daily diet of carnage and chaos around the world, served up to us via our television screens, newspapers and/or radios, we need to remind ourselves there is 'order and design' behind it all. Author and journalist John Pilger explained the latest 'game plan' for us in the *New Statesman*, August 21st, 2004.

"Supremacy is the essence of Americanism; only the veil changes or slips. In 1976, the Democrat Jimmy Carter announced "a foreign policy that respects human rights." In secret, he backed Indonesia's genocide in East Timor and established the mujahedin in Afghanistan as a terrorist organisation designed to overthrow the Soviet Union, and from which came the Taliban and al-Qaeda. It was the liberal Carter, not Reagan, who laid the ground for George W Bush."

The Blueprint for the new Imperialism: "In the past year, I have interviewed Carter's principal foreign policy overlords Zbigniew Brzezinski, his national security adviser, and James Schlesinger, his defence secretary.

No blueprint for the new imperialism is more respected than Brzezinski's. Invested with biblical authority by the Bush gang, his 1997 book *The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geo-strategic Imperatives* describes American priorities as the economic subjugation of the Soviet Union and the control of central Asia and the Middle East.

"His analysis says that "local wars" are merely the beginning of a final conflict leading inexorably to world domination by the US. "To put it in a terminology that harkens back to a more brutal age of ancient empires," he writes, 'the three grand imperatives of imperial geo-strategy are to prevent collusion

IN THIS ISSUE: • *Thought For The Week* • *The War Lords Of Washington* • *As The Iranians See It*

"ON TARGET"

October 29th, 2004

Page 1 (165)

and maintain security dependence among the vassals, to keep tributaries pliant and protected, and to keep the barbarians from coming together.'

"It may have been easy once to dismiss this as a message from the lunar right. But Brzezinski is mainstream. His devoted students include Madeleine Albright, who, as secretary of state under Clinton, described the death of half a million infants in Iraq during the US-led embargo as 'a price worth paying,' and John Negroponte, the mastermind of American terror in central America under Reagan who is currently 'ambassador' in Baghdad.

James Rubin, who was Albright's enthusiastic apologist at the State Department, is being considered as John Kerry's national security adviser. He is also a Zionist; Israel's role as a terror state is beyond discussion.

Cast an eye over the rest of the world: "As Iraq has crowded the front pages, American moves into Africa have attracted little attention. Here, the Clinton and Bush policies are seamless. In the 1990s, Clinton's African Growth and Opportunity Act launched a new scramble for Africa. Humanitarian bombers wonder why Bush and Blair have not attacked Sudan and 'liberated' Darfur, or intervened in Zimbabwe or the Congo..."

The answer is found in the 'censored' history of the world: In the 1960s-70s there were other writers with a more perceptive eye than Mr. Pilger, such as South African journalist Ivor Benson. He witnessed the 'winds of change' taking place on the continent of Africa and insisted it was always a white hand in a black glove directing the 'revolutionary forces'.

The Zionist Factor: Benson records the role the Zionists played in the Rhodesian drama in a book with the above title. Ivor Benson wrote:

"A significantly different version of the Rhodesian drama, in which the Zionist role is explained at some length, is presented in a book published in Zimbabwe, formerly Rhodesia – *Majuta* by B.A. Kosmin, with the sub-title *A History of the Jewish Community in Zimbabwe*.

The author makes no secret of the fact that in Rhodesia long before the unilateral declaration of independence (UDI) the terms "Jew" and "Zionist" were, as he puts it, "almost interchangeable". He tells us that in 1967, when the Rhodesians were already feeling the bite of UN-imposed economic sanctions, the President of the Central African Zionist Organisation (CAZO), Mr A.E. Abrahamson, led a delegation to Prime Minister Ian Smith "to inform him of their planned manpower and financial aid to Israel", a move which, he adds, "achieved the desired results". (Emphasis added.)

In the 1930s, says Dr Kosmin, the per capita monetary contribution of Rhodesian Jews to the Zionist cause was the highest in the world, "a tradition maintained into the 1970s" in spite of sanctions which had virtually placed the country in a state of siege.

Equally disproportionate, it would seem, was the attention lavished on Rhodesia, with its tiny Jewish population, by the world's Zionist leaders: we read that visitors to the country included Chaim Weizmann, Vladimir Jabotinsky, Nahum Sokolov, Moshe Sharett, Nahum Goldmann, Norman Bentwich, Cecil Roth and, in more recent time, Generals Moshe Dayan, Yigal Allon, Chaim Hertzog and Ezer Weizmann. Kosmin's book makes it clear that a highly organised and powerfully united Rhodesian Zionist community, which dominated the country's economic life, was always totally opposed to independence as envisaged by the ruling Rhodesian Front party, although frequently compelled for reasons of strategy and tactics to occupy positions on both sides of the battle line.

White Rhodesia is described by Kosmin as a "Herrenvolk democracy" with political trends "dangerous to Jews", among these dangerous trends being "a greater and more exclusive British patriotism" engendered by World War II, to be further enhanced when British forces were drawn into a struggle with Irgun and other Zionist terrorists in Palestine.

In 1952, therefore, it was firmly decided at the annual congress of the Jewish Board of Deputies to urge Jews to become more actively involved in Rhodesian politics.

"It was perhaps no coincidence", says Kosmin, "that Jews returned to the Federal and Territorial Assemblies in the 1953 elections were all actively identified with the community and had experience of Jewish organisations".

Those who benefited from the sanctions were those mainly responsible for it: Benson continues – "As events were to prove, economic sanctions greatly strengthened the Jewish hold on Rhodesia's commerce and industry, since inevitably the persons who benefited most from the sanctions-busting operation were those mainly responsible for conducting it; and those most vulnerable to sanctions were also most vulnerable to campaigns of intimidation and pressure exerted by Black nationalist revolutionaries inside the country.

The net result is that commerce and industry in the new Zimbabwe are more than ever concentrated in the hands of the Jews, with big companies powerful enough to be able to influence the Black politicians, and strategically placed to share with them the abundance of money coming into the country in the form of low-interest loans and foreign aid grants...

The struggle of a predominantly British White Rhodesian population to avoid being drawn into the internationalists' New International Economic Order occupies only a small part of Dr. Kosmin's rather indiscreet history, which traces the role of the Jews since they entered the country before the turn of the century, mostly as pedlars and cattle dealers, to be joined later by numbers of "refugees" from Czarist Russia..."

To continue John Pilger's article: "The answer is that they have no interest in human distress and human rights, and are busy securing the same riches that led to the European scramble in the late 19th century by the traditional means of coercion and bribery, known as multilateralism.

The Congo and Zambia possess 50 per cent of world cobalt reserves; 98 per cent of the world's chrome reserves are in Zimbabwe and South Africa. More importantly, there is oil and natural gas in Africa from Nigeria to Angola, and in Hagleig, south-west Sudan. Under Clinton, the African Crisis Response Initiative (Acri) was set up in secret. This has allowed the US to establish 'military assistance programmes' in Senegal, Uganda, Malawi, Ghana, Benin, Algeria, Niger, Mali and Chad.

Acri is run by Colonel Nestor Pino-Marina, a Cuban exile who took part in the 1961 Bay of Pigs landing and went on to be a special forces officer in Vietnam and Laos, and who, under Reagan, helped lead the Contra invasion of Nicaragua.

The pedigrees never change: "None of this is discussed in a presidential campaign in which John Kerry strains to out-Bush Bush. The multi-lateralism or 'muscular internationalism' that Kerry offers in contrast to Bush's uni-lateralism is seen as hopeful by the terminally naive; in truth, it beckons even greater dangers...

With Nato back in train under President Kerry, and the French and Germans compliant, American ambitions will proceed without the Napoleonic hindrances of the Bush gang.

Little of this appears even in the American papers worth reading. *The Washington Post's* hand-wringing apology to its readers on 14 August for not "pay[ing] enough attention to voices raising questions about the war [against Iraq]" has not interrupted its silence on the danger that the American state presents to the world.

The 'pre-fascist state': Bush's rating has risen in the polls to more than 50 per cent, a level at this stage in the campaign at which no incumbent has ever lost. The virtues of his 'plain speaking,' which the entire media machine promoted four years ago '*Fox and the Washington Post* alike' are again credited. As in the aftermath of the 11 September attacks, Americans are denied a modicum of understanding of what Norman Mailer has called 'a pre-fascist climate.' The fears of the rest of us are of no consequence.

Those defending their homeland described as 'militants' or 'insurgents': "The professional liberals on both sides of the Atlantic have played a major part in this. The campaign against Michael Moore's *Fahrenheit 9/11* is indicative. The film is not radical and makes no outlandish claims; what it does is push past those guarding the boundaries of 'respectable' dissent. That is why the public applauds it. It breaks the collusive codes of journalism, which it shames. It allows people to begin to deconstruct the nightly propaganda that passes for news: in which 'a sovereign Iraqi government pursues democracy' and those fighting in Najaf and Fallujah and Basra are always 'militants' and 'insurgents' or members of a 'private army,' never nationalists defending their homeland and whose resistance has probably forestalled attacks on Iran, Syria or North Korea.

The real debate is neither Bush nor Kerry, but the system they exemplify; it is the decline of true democracy and the rise of the American 'national security state' in Britain and other countries claiming to be democracies, in which people are sent to prison and the key thrown away and whose leaders commit capital crimes in faraway places, unhindered, and then, like the ruthless Blair, invite the thug they install to address the Labour Party conference.

The real debate is the subjugation of national economies to a system which divides humanity as never before and sustains the deaths, every day, of 24,000 hungry people. The real debate is the subversion of political language and of debate itself and perhaps, in the end, our self-respect." (emphasis added)

AS THE IRANIANS SEE IT: Contrary to the 'spin-diet' Australians are fed through the mainline media, America (and by Howard's support of the US war of aggression in Iraq, also Australia) is not seen as the great white knight astride her white-as-white charger rescuing the Middle East from the evil rule of Saddam Hussein and his WMD.

Who remembers the bilge we were fed at the war's commencement? It seems aeons ago now as this terrible situation drags on and we view Iraq's physical structures being wiped out of existence and more and more Iraqis brutally blasted out of existence. Interestingly, a little snippet appeared in the *Ballarat Courier*, 14/10/04, presenting another viewpoint from Iran's *Tehran Times*.

"At this critical juncture, Russia must clarify its position on the regional crises, so that regional countries can begin working for progress and reconstruction free of US interference. Regional countries have always respected Russia, and therefore Russia should make use of its potential and position to challenge the US attempt to impose its hegemony over the region and the plans to redraw the map of the Middle East in line with the interests of the Zionists."

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$40.00 p.a.